Case	2:18-cv-01549-DMG-JEM Document 1	Filed 02/26/18 Page 1 of 10 Page ID #:1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LI Daniel H. Wu (State Bar # 198925) daniel.wu@squirepb.com Emily L. Wallerstein (State Bar # 26 emily.wallerstein@squirepb.com 555 South Flower Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: +1 213 624 2500 Facsimile: +1 213 623 4581 Attorneys for Plaintiff, WOLFGANG REILE SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURN SMITH LLP Stephen L. Schreiner (State Bar # 11 sschreiner@swsslaw.com 401 B Street, Suite 1200 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: +1 619 231 0303	0729) И &
13	Facsimile: +1 619 231 4755 Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
14	ANTHONY BELTRAN	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16 17	CENTRAL DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 18		
19	Wolfgang Reile and Anthony Beltrar	n, Case No. 2:18-cv-01549
20	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR:
21	V.	(1) BREACH OF CONTRACT;
22	Afilias, plc,	(2) ACCOUNTING;
23	Defendant.	(3) DECLARATORY RELIEF
24		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
25		
26		
27		
28		
		C N.
		Case No COMPLAIN

Plaintiffs Wolfgang Reile ("Reile") and Anthony Beltran ("Beltran") allege
 as follows:

INTRODUCTION

This action arises from defendant Afilias, plc's ("Afilias") breach of its
 obligations under a Stock Purchase Agreement and failure to remit the final
 installment of the purchase price to Plaintiffs Reile and Beltran.

7

3

THE PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8 2. Plaintiff Reile is an individual currently residing in San Diego County,
9 California.

3. Plaintiff Beltran is an individual currently residing in San Diego County,
 California.

4. Reile and Beltran are informed and believe, and thereon allege that,
defendant Afilias is an Irish public limited company, with a principal place of
business in Horsham, Pennsylvania.

15 5. Afilias owns all of the stock of 101Domain, Inc. ("101Domain"), a
16 Nevada corporation registered to do business in California.

Afilias acquired the stock of 101Domain on or about September 3, 2015
when Afilias entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Reile and Beltran (the
"Stock Purchase Agreement").

7. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there
is complete diversity of citizenship between the parties and the amount in controversy
exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. This Court also has authority to
grant the relief sought in this action pursuant to the provisions of the Declaratory
Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202.

8. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c)
because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred
in this District and Afilias transacts business in this District. Afilias is subject to the
personal jurisdiction of this Court and is amenable to service of process pursuant to

1 the California Long-Arm Statute, Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 413.10, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 2 4(e).

3 9. Jurisdiction and venue are also proper in this District because pursuant 4 to Section 10.10(b) of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Reile, Beltran, and Afilias 5 consented to jurisdiction in this District with respect to any claims arising under the 6 Stock Purchase Agreement.

7

8

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

I. The Stock Purchase Agreement

9 10. Reile is a successful entrepreneur who has founded and grown numerous 10 companies during the last two decades.

In or around December 12, 2005, Reile and Beltran founded 11 11. 12 101Domain, which provides international domain name registration and management 13 services. Reile was the majority shareholder of 101Domain, holding 89.74% of its 14 shares, with Beltran holding 10.26% of 101Domain's shares.

15 12. On or about September 3, 2015, Afilias entered into a Stock Purchase 16 Agreement with Reile and Beltran, pursuant to which Afilias purchased all of the 17 issued and outstanding stock of 101Domain in exchange for \$15,500,000 (the 18 "Purchase Price"). A true and correct copy of the Stock Purchase Agreement is 19 attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

20 13. Under Section 2.03 of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Purchase 21 Price was to be paid in installments as follows:

22

Afilias made a closing cash payment of \$12,500,000; and (a)

23 (b) Afilias concurrently executed a non-negotiable Promissory Note 24 in the amount of \$3,000,000, with interest to accrue at 5% per annum, in favor of 25 Reile and Beltran (the "Promissory Note"). A true and correct copy of the 26 Promissory Note executed by Afilias is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

27 14. Under the terms of the Promissory Note, Afilias was to repay the 28 principal balance in two installments: (i) a payment of \$2,000,000 on September 3,

2016; and (ii) a payment of \$1,000,000 on September 3, 2017, with accrued interest
 payable quarterly in arrears.

15. The payments under the Promissory Note were to be divided by Reile
and Beltran pro rata based on the proportional percentage of their ownership of
101Domain's shares. Thus, Reile was to receive 89.74% and Beltran was to receive
10.26%, of each payment, under the Promissory Note.

III. Indemnification Rights Under the Stock Purchase Agreement

8 16. As part of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Reile and Beltran severally
9 made representations and warranties in favor of Afilias, including that, subject to the
10 exceptions set forth on Schedule 3.19(a), "all Tax Returns required to be filed on or
11 before [September 3, 2015] by [101Domain] have been, or will be timely filed. Such
12 Tax Returns are, or will be, true, complete and correct in all respects. All Taxes due
13 and owed (whether or not shown on any Tax Return) have been, or will be, timely
14 paid." (Stock Purchase Agreement, § 3.19(a)).

15 17. Further, Reile and Beltran agreed to severally indemnify Afilias for "any
Loss attributable to any breach of or inaccuracy in any representation or warranty
made in Section 3.19" and "all Pre-Closing Taxes" with reimbursement for such to
be paid to Afilias "within ten (10) Business Days after payment of such Taxes by
[Afilias] or [101Domain]." (Stock Purchase Agreement, §6.03).

18. In order to seek indemnification under the Stock Purchase Agreement
for a indemnifiable loss not resulting from a claim asserted by a third party, Afilias
was required to comply with Section 8.05(c) which provides:

Any Action by an Indemnified Party on account of a Loss that does not result from a Third Party Claim ("**Direct Claim**") shall be asserted by the Indemnified Party giving the Indemnifying Party reasonably prompt written notice thereof, by in any event not later than fifteen (15) days after the Indemnified Party becomes aware of such Direct Claim. . . . Such notice by the Indemnified Party shall describe the Direct Claim in reasonable detail, shall include copies of all material written evidence thereof and shall indicate the estimated amount, if reasonably practicable, of the Loss that has been or may be sustained by the

7

23

24

25

26

27

Indemnified Party. The Indemnifying Party shall have thirty (30) days after its receipt of such notice to respond in writing to such Direct Claim (unless the Direct Claim is pursuant to a filed Action, in which case the Indemnifying Party has the period of time allowed at law to file a responsive pleading) (the "**Dispute Period**"). The Indemnified Party shall allow the Indemnifying Party and its professional advisors to investigate the matter or circumstance alleged to give rise to the Direct Claim, and whether and to what extent any amount is payable in respect of the Direct Claim and the Indemnified Party shall assist the Indemnifying Party's investigation by giving such information and assistance (including access to the Company's premises and personnel and the right to examine and copy any accounts, documents or records) as the Indemnifying Party or any of its professional advisors may reasonably request. If the Indemnifying Party disagrees with the validity or amount of all or a portion of such Direct Claim, the Indemnifying Party will deliver to the Indemnified Party written notice thereof (the "Dispute Notice") prior to the expiration of the Dispute Period. If no Dispute Notice is received by the Indemnified Party within the Dispute Period or if Indemnifying Party provides notice that it does not have a dispute with respect to the Direct Claim, then such claim will be deemed approved and consented to and payable by the Indemnifying Party. If a Dispute Notice is received by the Indemnified Party within the Dispute Period, no payment will be made by the Indemnifying Party until such disputed Direct Claim is resolved, whether by adjudication of the matter, agreement between the Indemnifying Party and the Indemnified Party, or otherwise.

17 18 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19. Further, the Stock Purchase Agreement provides Afilias with a limited

right to suspend payment under the Promissory Note "up to the amount in dispute"

in the event of an indemnification claim. (Stock Purchase Agreement, § 8.06).

20 21

II.

20.

22 23

24

25

26

27

payment due under the Promissory Note to Reile.21. However, on or about September 3, 2017, Afilias failed to make the final

Afilias Breaches the Stock Purchase Agreement and Promissory Note

On or about September 3, 2016, Afilias made the first installment

installment payment due under the Promissory Note.

22. Instead, on or about September 5, 2017, Afilias delivered a Notice of Indemnification Claim ("Indemnification Demand") to Reile and Beltran asserting that it had discovered 101Domain had a purported unpaid pre-closing Value Added

Tax ("VAT") liability for the period of January 1, 2010 to September 3, 2015. While 1 2 Afilias asserted that the "estimated" liability for the purportedly unpaid VAT totaled 3 \$874,753, no written evidence was enclosed with the Indemnification Demand to 4 support Afilias' claim.

5 23. Notwithstanding the fact that neither Afilias nor 101Domain have paid 6 the purported pre-closing VAT liability, Afilias asserted that it was entitled to 7 indemnification under the Stock Purchase Agreement and advised that it was 8 withholding the entirety of the final \$1,000,000 installment payment due under the 9 Promissory Note.

10 In accordance with the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Reile 24. 11 and Beltran timely delivered a Dispute Notice to Afilias contesting the validity of its 12 claim.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract)

Reile and Beltran reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set 25. forth herein, the previous allegations in paragraphs 1 through 24.

18 26. Reile, Beltran, and Afilias are parties to certain written agreements, 19 including the Stock Purchase Agreement and the Promissory Note (the 20 "Agreements").

21 27. Reile and Beltran duly performed all conditions on their part with 22 respect to the Agreements.

23 28. Afilias has breached the Agreements and refused to comply with the terms of the Agreements by withholding the final installment of the Purchase Price 24 25 due to Reile and Beltran based upon a purported pre-closing VAT liability that has 26 not been paid by Afilias or 101Domain.

27 As a direct and proximate result of the material breach of the 29. 28 Agreements by Afilias, Reile and Beltran have suffered damages in an amount to be

- 5 -

13

14

15

16

1 proven at trial, plus interest, costs and Reile and Beltran's attorneys' fees, as provided 2 for in the Stock Purchase Agreement.

3 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF** 4 (Accounting) Reile and Beltran reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set 5 30. 6 forth herein, the previous allegations in paragraphs 1 through 29. 7 31. As set forth in Section 8.05(c) of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Afilias 8 had a duty to provide Reile and Beltran with an indemnification demand setting forth 9 the amount of any alleged unpaid pre-closing tax for which it sought indemnification 10 and all supporting written evidence. Additionally, Afilias had a duty to provide Reile and Beltran with access to the books and records necessary to assess any 11 12 indemnification demand made by it. Despite Reile and Beltran's requests, Afilias has failed to provide an 13 32. 14 adequate accounting for the purported pre-closing VAT liability. 15 33. Reile and Beltran are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that the alleged amount of unpaid VAT set forth in Afilias' Indemnification Demand is 16 17 inaccurate. 18 34. Reile and Beltran have demanded that Afilias adequately account for the aforementioned VAT liability, but Afilias has failed to render an adequate 19 20 accounting. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF 21 22 (Declaratory Relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 et seq.) 23 35. Reile and Beltran reallege and incorporate by reference, as if fully set 24 forth herein, the previous allegations in paragraphs 1 through 34. 25 36. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties relating to the interpretation of the Agreements and the legal rights and duties of Reile 26

27 and Beltran on the one hand, and Afilias on the other, for which Reile and Beltran 28 desire a judicial declaration of rights.

37. A declaratory judgment is necessary because Reile and Beltran contend
 that Afilias is not entitled to withhold the final installment of the Purchase Price based
 upon a purported pre-closing VAT liability that has not been paid by Afilias or
 101Domain, while Afilias contends that it is entitled to do so.

5 38. Declaratory relief from this Court will resolve this controversy and limit
6 the uncertainties and clarify the legal rights and duties of the parties.

7 39. Reile and Beltran therefore request declaratory judgment from this
8 Court pursuant to Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that Afilias is
9 obligated to remit the final installment of the Purchase Price and may not withhold it
10 on the basis of an alleged pre-closing VAT liability that has not been paid by Afilias
11 or 101Domain.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

13 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that judgment be entered against14 Defendant for the following:

For an award of compensatory damages, general damages, prospective
 damages and restitution, if any, in an amount to be determined at trial;

2. For an accounting, as set forth in the Second Cause of Action;

For a declaration, pursuant to Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil
 Procedure that Afilias may not withhold the final installment of the Purchase Price
 based on an alleged pre-closing VAT liability that has not been paid by Afilias or
 101Domain, as set forth in the Third Cause of Action;

4. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein pursuant to the
Stock Purchase Agreement and applicable law;

5. For an award of post judgment interest on the foregoing sums at the
maximum rate permitted by law from the date the judgment is entered until paid.

6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

28

26

27

12

Case_{II}2:18-cv-01549-DMG-JEM Document 1 Filed 02/26/18 Page 9 of 10 Page ID #:9 1 Respectfully submitted, Dated: February 26, 2018 2 SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LLP 3 4 By: /s/ Daniel H. Wu Daniel H. Wu 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Wolfgang Reile 6 7 8 Respectfully submitted, Dated: February 26, 2018 9 SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM & SMITH LLP 10 11 12 By: /s/ Stephen L. Schreiner Stephen L. Schreiner 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Anthony Beltran 14 15 16 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), the filer attests that all other signatories 17 listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and 18 have authorized this filing. 19 20 /s/ Daniel H. Wu 21 Daniel H. Wu 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. _

Case 2:18-cv-01549-DMG-JEM Document 1 Filed 02/26/18 Page 10 of 10 Page ID #:10		
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
2	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Reile and Beltran hereby	
3	demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.	
4		
5		
6	Dated: February 26, 2018 Respectfully submitted,	
7	SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (US) LLP	
8		
9	By: <u>/s/ Daniel H. Wu</u> Daniel H. Wu	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Wolfgang Reile	
11	wongang Kene	
12		
13	Dated: February 26, 2018 Respectfully submitted,	
14	SOLOMON WARD SEIDENWURM & SMITH LLP	
15		
16	By: /s/ Stephen L. Schreiner	
17	Stephen L. Schreiner	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff Anthony Beltran	
19		
20	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), the filer attests that all other signatories	
21	listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and	
22	have authorized this filing.	
23	nave additionized this ming.	
24	/s/ Daniel H. Wu	
25 26	Daniel H. Wu	
26 27		
27		
28		
	Case No	